arXiv:1612.01145v1 [math.FA] 4 Dec 2016

GARLING SEQUENCE SPACES

BEN WALLIS

Abstract. By generalizing a construction of Garling, for each $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and each normalized, nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers $w \in c_0 \setminus \ell_1$ we exhibit an ℓ_p-saturated, complementably homogeneous Banach space $g(w,p)$ related to the Lorentz sequence space $d(w,p)$. Using methods originally developed for studying $d(w,p)$, we show that $g(w,p)$ admits a unique (up to equivalence) subsymmetric basis, although when $w = (n^{-\theta})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$, it does not admit a symmetric basis. We then discuss some additional properties of $g(w,p)$ related to uniform convexity and superreflexivity.

1. Introduction

In this paper we shall generalize a Banach space constructed by D.J.H. Garling in [Ga68] in order to define a new family of Banach spaces $g(w,p)$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, which can be seen as variations on the family of Lorentz sequence spaces $d(w,p)$. The original motivation behind Garling's construction was to exhibit a subsymmetric basis which is not symmetric, and his original construction in [Ga68] consisted of $g(w,1)$ with $w = (n^{-1/2})_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Similar modifications have appeared in past literature, namely the spaces constructed in [Pu76] and [DOSZ11, §5]. The one in [Pu76] is a modification of $g(w,2)$ for $w = (n^{-1/2})_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and forms a uniformly convex space whose canonical basis is subsymmetric but not symmetric. The space from [DOSZ11, §5] can be viewed as being constructed from variations of $g(w,1)$ for various choices of weights w, and yields a canonical basis which is 1-greedy and subsymmetric but not symmetric.

Both the new Garling sequence spaces $g(w,p)$ and the older Lorentz sequence spaces $d(w,p)$ are defined by taking the completion of c_{00} under norms $\|\cdot\|_g$ or $\|\cdot\|_d$, respectively. The only difference between these norms is that $\|\cdot\|_g$ is defined by taking a certain supremum over subsequences instead of permutations of sequences, as is the case for $\|\cdot\|_d$. Consequently, many results which have been established in previous literature for Lorentz sequence spaces carry over, with more or less the same proofs, for the Garling sequence spaces $g(w,p)$. Indeed, most of our results below are just minor adaptations of results in [ACL73], [CL74], and [Al75].

The author thanks Greg Martin and Bünyamin Sarı for their helpful comments.

MSC10: 46B25, 46B45, 46B04

Keywords: functional analysis, Banach spaces, Lorentz sequence spaces, subsymmetric bases, symmetric bases.

Let us recall some basic definitions and notation which we will need later. Denote by c_{00} the space of all scalar sequences (i.e., elements of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ or of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{N}}$) with finitely many nonzero entries. If $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a basis for a Banach space X, and $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$, then we denote $\operatorname{supp} x := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \neq 0\}$, i.e. the set of indices corresponding to the nonzero entries of x (which is called the *support* of x). When the basis $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is clear from context, we may write $x = (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in place of $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$.

Let X and Y denote infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. The space X is said to be **Y-saturated** just in case every infinite-dimensional closed subspace of X admits a further subspace Z which is isomorphic to Y, written $Z \approx Y$. (In the literature, beging Y-saturated is sometimes called being *hereditarily* Y.) Now suppose $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are sequences in X and Y, respectively. If there exists $C \in [1, \infty)$ such that

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n\|_X \leqslant C \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n y_n\|_Y$$

for all finitely-supported sequences of scalars $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_{00}$, then we say that $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ **C-dominates** $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and write $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \lesssim_C (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. In case C does not matter, we will simply say that $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ **dominates** $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and write $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \lesssim (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Whenever $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \lesssim_C (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \lesssim_C (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we say that $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are **C-equivalent**, and write $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \approx_C (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ or, simply, $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \approx (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.

A basic sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is called **subsymmetric** just in case it is unconditional and equivalent to each of its subsequences. It is called **symmetric** whenever it is unconditional and equivalent to each of its permutations. By a uniform boundedness argument (cf., e.g., [LT77, §3.a] for details), it follows that if $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subsymmetric basic sequence then there is a uniform constant $C \geqslant 1$ such that if $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is any subsequence and $(\epsilon_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is any choice of signs (or, in the complex case, elements of the complex unit circle $\mathbb{T}$), then $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is C-equivalent to $(\epsilon_k x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$. In this case we say that $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is **C-subsymmetric**. Similarly, if $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is symmetric then there is $C \geqslant 1$ such that $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is C-equivalent to each $(\epsilon_n x_{\sigma(n)})_{n=1}^{\infty}$, where σ is a permutation of $\mathbb{N}$, in which case we say that $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is **C-symmetric**. Note that C-symmetry implies C-subsymmetry, which in turn implies C-unconditionality.

Most other notation is standard, such as might appear, for instance, in [LT77] or [AK06]. However, we still need to introduce notation for the spaces under consideration in this paper.

1.1. **Lorentz sequence spaces.** We denote by

$$\mathbf{W} := \{(w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in c_0 \setminus \ell_1 : 1 = w_1 \geqslant w_2 \geqslant w_3 \geqslant \cdots > 0\}$$

the set of all normalized null sequences of positive nonincreasing weights which are not summable. We also let Π denote the set of permutations on $\mathbb{N}$. Then for any

$1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and any $w \in \mathbf{W}$, we define a **Lorentz sequence space** $d(w,p)$ as the completion of c_{00} under the norm $\|\cdot\|_d$ defined by the rule

$$\|(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_d = \sup_{\sigma\in\Pi}\|(a_{\sigma(n)}w_n^{1/p})_{n=1}^\infty\|_p, \quad (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_{00}.$$

Here, $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the ℓ_p-norm defined by

$$\|(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n|^p\right)^{1/p}, \quad (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_p.$$

We will usually denote by $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ the unit vector basis of $d(w,p)$. Observe that the choice of letter "d" for the Lorentz sequence spaces follows standard conventions in the literature.

1.2. **Garling sequence spaces.** Let $\mathbb{N}^\uparrow$ denote the set of all strictly increasing sequences of positive integers, i.e. the set of all sequences

$$(n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \cdots) \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Then for any $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and any $w \in \mathbf{W}$, we define a **Garling sequence space** $g(w,p)$ as the completion of c_{00} under the norm $\|\cdot\|_g$ defined by the rule

$$\|(a_n)_{n=1}^\infty\|_g = \sup_{(n_k)_{k=1}^\infty\in\mathbb{N}^\uparrow}\|(a_{n_k}w_k^{1/p})_{k=1}^\infty\|_p, \quad (a_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_{00}.$$

We will usually denote by $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ the unit vector basis of $g(w,p)$.

We might want to also say a few words about the restrictions on w in the definition of $g(w,p)$. The choice of $w_1 = 1$ gives us normalization, and requiring that $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be nonincreasing leads to relatively simple norm computations. The further conditions $w \in c_0$ and $w \notin \ell_1$ prevent us, respectively, from having $g(w,p) \approx \ell_p$ or $g(w,p) \approx c_0$.

We shall need two basic results on subsymmetric bases. We omit the proofs since they are practically identical to the analogous results for symmetric basic sequences in [ACL73].

Proposition 1.1 (see [ACL73, Proposition 3])**.** *Let X be a Banach space with a subsymmetric basis $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and let $(y_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a seminormalized block basic sequence in of $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. If there is $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\#supp\ y_i \leqslant L$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(y_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.*

Proposition 1.2 (see [ACL73, Proposition 4])**.** *Let X be a Banach space with a subsymmetric basis $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, and let*

$$y_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} a_i x_i, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

form a block basic sequence satisfying

$$\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{p_n\leqslant i\leqslant p_{n+1}-1} |a_i| > 0. \tag{1}$$

Then $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \gtrsim (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

We also record the following fact, which is well-known and has an elementary proof which we omit.

Proposition 1.3. *Every subsymmetric basic sequence in a Banach space is either weakly null or else equivalent to the canonical basis of* ℓ_1.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we collect some basic facts about the spaces $g(w,p)$. Section 3 is where we show that $g(w,p)$ always admits a unique subsymmetric basis but, for certain $w \in \mathbf{W}$, fails to admit a symmetric basis. Finally, in section 4, we prove that $g(w,p)$ is never uniformly convex, and that in some cases it is reflexive but not superreflexive.

2. Basic results on $g(w,p)$

In this section, we shall collect some basic facts about $g(w,p)$, ultimately proving the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ *and* $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{W}$*, and denote by* $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ *the canonical basis for* $g(w,p)$.

(i) $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ *is weakly null.*
(ii) *Every basic sequence in* $g(w,p)$ *equivalent to* $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ *admits a subsequence spanning a subspace complemented in* $g(w,p)$.
(iii) *If* $1 < p < \infty$ *then* $g(w,p)$ *is reflexive, but if* $p = 1$ *then* $g(w,1)$ *is nonreflexive.*
(iv) *No subspace of* ℓ_p *is isomorphic to* $g(w,p)$.
(v) *For every* $\epsilon > 0$ *and every infinite-dimensional closed subspace* Y *of* $g(w,p)$ *there exists a further subspace* $Z \subseteq Y$ *which is* $(1+\epsilon)$*-isomorphic to* ℓ_p.
(vi) *In case* $p = 1$*, for every infinite-dimensional closed subspace* Y *of* $g(w,1)$*, there exists a further subspace* $Z \subseteq Y$ *which is isomorphic to* ℓ_1 *and complemented in* $g(w,p)$.
(vii) *For every* $\epsilon > 0$*, the space* $g(w,p)$ *contains a closed subspace which is* $(1+\epsilon)$*-isomorphic to* ℓ_p *and* $(1+\epsilon)$*-complemented in* $g(w,p)$.

Remark 2.2. *We say that a Banach space* X *is* **complementably homogeneous** *whenever for every closed subspace* Y *of* X *such that* $Y \approx X$*, there exists another closed subspace* Z *such that* Z *is complemented in* X*,* $Z \approx X$*, and* $Z \subseteq Y$*. Observe that condition (ii) in Theorem 2.1 above, together with subsymmetry, implies that* $g(w,p)$ *is complementably homogeneous.*

Let us begin with the following.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. Then any normalized block basic sequence of $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is 1-dominated by the canonical basis for ℓ_p.*

Proof. Our proof shall follow that of [ACL73, Proposition 5]. Let

$$y_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} a_i g_i, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

form a normalized block basic sequence in $g(w,p)$, and let $(b_n)_{n=1}^{N}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be any finite sequence of scalars. Then we can find a sequence sets $A_1 < A_2 < \cdots < A_N$ such that $A_n \subseteq \{p_n, p_n+1, \cdots, p_{n+1}-1\}$ and

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n y_n\|_g^p = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i \in A_n} |b_n a_i|^p w_{m(i)},$$

where $m(i) = \#\{j \in A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_N : j \leqslant i\}$. Notice also that for each $n = 1, \cdots, N$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in A_n} |a_i|^p w_{m(i)} \leqslant \|y_n\|_g^p = 1$$

so that

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n y_n\|_g^p = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{i \in A_n} |b_n a_i|^p w_{m(i)} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} |b_n|^p \sum_{i \in A_n} |a_i|^p w_{m(i)} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{N} |b_n|^p.$$

It follows that $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is 1-dominated by the canonical basis for ℓ_p. ∎

When characterizing the norm of $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n d_n \in d(w,p)$, $\#\text{supp } x < \infty$, we can always assume $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is positive and nonincreasing. However, for $g(w,p)$ this is not always possible. In particular, we will need a substitute method to deal with block bases in $g(w,p)$, which we give below.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. Suppose*

$$y_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} a_i g_i, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

forms a block basic sequence. Then there exists another block basis formed by vectors

$$\hat{y}_n = \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_n}^{\hat{p}_{n+1}-1} a_{i_\ell} g_\ell, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that

(i) *$a_{i_\ell} \neq 0$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$;*
(ii) *$\hat{p}_1 = 1$;*

(iii) $(i_\ell)_{\ell=\hat{p}_n}^{\hat{p}_{n+1}-1}$ *is a subsequence of* $(i)_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1}$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*;*

(iv) $\|y_n\|_g = \|\hat{y}_n\|_g = \left(\sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_n}^{\hat{p}_{n+1}-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p w_{\ell-\hat{p}_n+1} \right)^{1/p}$ *for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}$*; and*

(v) $(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ *is 1-dominated by* $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

Proof. Notice that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can find $A_n \subseteq \{p_n, \cdots, p_{n+1}-1\}$ such that if $m(i) = \#\{r \in A_n : r \leqslant i\}$ for each $p_n \leqslant i \leqslant p_{n+1}-1$ then

$$\|y_n\|_g = \left(\sum_{i \in A_n} |a_i|^p w_{m(i)} \right)^{1/p}.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_i \neq 0$ whenever $i \in A_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can now define, for each $p_n \leqslant i \leqslant p_{n+1}-1$,

$$\tilde{a}_i = \begin{cases} a_i & \text{if } i \in A_n, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now set, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\tilde{y}_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}} \tilde{a}_i g_i.$$

Then $(\tilde{y}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a normalized block basic sequence which, by 1-unconditionality, is 1-dominated by $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

Next we shall construct the block basic sequence $(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ as above, by defining the sequences $(a_{i_\ell})_{\ell=1}^\infty$ and $(\hat{p}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Let $(\tilde{a}_{i_\ell})_{\ell=1}^\infty$ be the subsequence which consists precisely of the nonzero values of $(\tilde{a}_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. Immediately, (i) holds. It is also clear that there exist $1 = \hat{p}_1 < \hat{p}_2 < \hat{p}_3 < \cdots \in \mathbb{N}$ so that (ii) and (iii) also hold. Now, observe that $\|y_n\|_g = \|\tilde{y}_n\|_g = \|\hat{y}_n\|_g$ which gives us (iv). Finally, we have

$$(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^\infty \approx_1 (\tilde{y}_n)_{n=1}^\infty \lesssim_1 (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$$

to get (v). ∎

Now we are able to prove the following powerful result, an adaptation of [ACL73, Lemma 1] and [CL74, Lemma 15].

Theorem 2.5. *Let* $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ *and* $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{W}$*, and let* $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ *denote the canonical basis of* $g(w,p)$*. Suppose*

$$y_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} a_i g_i$$

forms a normalized block basic sequence, and satisfies

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} a_i = 0.$$

Let $(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence from Proposition 2.4 corresponding to $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence $(y_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which is $(1+\epsilon)$-equivalent to the canonical basis $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of ℓ_p, and such that $[\hat{y}_{n_k}]_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is $(1+\epsilon)$-complemented in $g(w,p)$.

Remark 2.6. *The $d(w,p)$-analog for Theorem 2.5 given in* [ACL73, Lemma 1] *and* [CL74, Lemma 15] *yields a stronger conclusion in the sense that every normalized block sequence in $d(w,p)$ with coefficients tending to zero admits a subsequence which is $(1+\epsilon)$-equivalent to ℓ_p and $(1+\epsilon)$-complemented in $d(w,p)$. Consequently, $d(w,p)$ is uniformly subprojective. Because the analogous result for $g(w,p)$ requires passing to $(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, subprojectivity does not follow in the same way that it does for $d(w,p)$. An alternative method for showing subprojectivity of $g(w,1)$ (i.e., in the special case $p=1$) is given in the proof of Theorem 2.1(vi). However, the uniform constant is not established there; moreover, it remains an open question whether $g(w,p)$ is subprojective for $1 < p < \infty$. See* [OS15] *for an overview of basic facts on subprojectivity.*

Proof of Theorem 2.5. The first part of our proof follows that of [ACL73, Lemma 1]. Let

$$\hat{y}_n = \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_n}^{\hat{p}_{n+1}-1} a_{i_\ell} g_\ell, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

form a normalized block basic sequence as in Proposition 2.4, and fix any $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\phi \in (0,1)$ be such that $\phi^{-1/p} \leqslant \phi^{-1} < 1+\epsilon$.

We claim that there exists a block basic sequence of the form

$$z_n = \sum_{\ell=q_n}^{q_{n+1}-1} b_\ell g_\ell, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and a subsequence $(\hat{y}_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$, such that the following two properties are satisfied for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $(*)$ the coefficients of z_k are the same as the coefficients of $\hat{y}_{n_k}$, that is, $q_{k+1}-q_k = \hat{p}_{n_k+1} - \hat{p}_{n_k}$ and $b_{q_k+\ell} = a_{i_{\hat{p}_{n_k}+\ell}}$ for $\ell = 0, \cdots q_{k+1}-q_k-1$; and
- $(**)$ $\sum_{\ell=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_\ell|^p w_\ell \geqslant \phi$.

Let us begin by setting $q_1 = 1$, and then let us suppose that we have defined q_k for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Due to $w_n \to 0$, we can find $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{j+q_k} w_\ell < \frac{1-\phi}{2}.$$

Note that since $a_{i_\ell} \to 0$ we must have $\hat{p}_{n+1} - \hat{p}_n \to \infty$. Thus, we may select $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\hat{p}_{h+1} - \hat{p}_h > j + q_k$ and $|a_{i_\ell}| < \frac{1-\phi}{2j}$ for all $\ell \geqslant \hat{p}_h$. Set $q_{k+1} = \hat{p}_{h+1} - \hat{p}_h + q_k$

and $b_{q_k+\ell} = a_{i_{\hat{p}_h+\ell}}$ for all $\ell = 0, \cdots, q_{k+1} - q_k - 1$. This way, setting $\hat{y}_{n_k} = \hat{y}_h$ immediately satisfies $(*)$. Recall from property (iv) in Proposition 2.4 that

$$1 = \|\hat{y}_h\|_g^p = \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1},$$

and therefore that

$$\begin{aligned}(2)\quad \sum_{\ell=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_\ell|^p w_\ell &= \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} |\hat{a}_\ell|^p (w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h}) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_h+j-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p (w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h}) - \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h+j}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p (w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h}).\end{aligned}$$

Note that since $(w_\ell)_{\ell=1}^\infty$ is nonincreasing with $0 < w_\ell \leqslant 1$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and $q_k \geqslant 1$, we have

$$0 \leqslant w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h} < 1, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Together with the facts that there are j values between $\hat{p}_h$ and $\hat{p}_h + j - 1$, as well as $|a_{i_\ell}|^p < \frac{1-\phi}{2j}$ for all $\ell \geqslant \hat{p}_h$, we now have

$$(3)\quad \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_h+j-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p (w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h}) < \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_h+j-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p < \frac{1-\phi}{2j} \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h}^{\hat{p}_h+j-1} 1 = \frac{1-\phi}{2}.$$

Also, note that, due again to $|a_{i_\ell}|^p < \frac{1-\phi}{2j} < 1$ for all $\ell \geqslant \hat{p}_h$, as well as the facts $\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{j+q_k} w_\ell < \frac{1-\phi}{2}$ and $\hat{p}_{h+1} + q_k - 2 \geqslant \hat{p}_{h+1} - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(4)\quad \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h+j}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} |a_{i_\ell}|^p (w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h}) &< \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h+j}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} (w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - w_{\ell+q_k-\hat{p}_h}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h+j}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}-1} w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} - \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h+j+q_k-1}^{\hat{p}_{h+1}+q_k-2} w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} \\ &< \sum_{\ell=\hat{p}_h+j}^{\hat{p}_h+j+q_k-1} w_{\ell-\hat{p}_h+1} = \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{j+q_k} w_\ell < \frac{1-\phi}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2), (3), and (4) gives us $(**)$. This completes the proof of our claim.

Let us show that our new block basic sequence $(z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ dominates the canonical basis $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ for ℓ_p. Let $(c_n)_{n=1}^N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be any finite sequence of scalars. Then

$$\phi \sum_{n=1}^N |c_n|^p \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^N |c_n|^p \sum_{\ell=q_n}^{q_{n+1}-1} |b_\ell|^p w_\ell = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{\ell=q_n}^{q_{n+1}-1} |c_n b_\ell|^p w_\ell \leqslant \|\sum_{n=1}^N c_n z_n\|_g^p$$

so that

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |c_n|^p\right)^{1/p} \leqslant \phi^{-1/p} \|\sum_{n=1}^N c_n z_n\|_g.$$

Hence, $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is $\phi^{-1/p}$-dominated by $(z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. On the other hand, $(z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is 1-equivalent to $(\hat{y}_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ by $(*)$ together with 1-subsymmetry of $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Recall that by property (v) in Proposition 2.4, the sequence $(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is 1-dominated by $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, and that by Proposition 2.3 the sequence $(y_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ is 1-dominated by $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. We now have the diagram

$$(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \lesssim_{\phi^{-1/p}} (z_n)_{n=1}^\infty \approx_1 (\hat{y}_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty \lesssim_1 (y_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty \lesssim_1 (f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \tag{5}$$

so that $(y_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ is $\phi^{-1/p}$-equivalent, and hence also $(1+\epsilon)$-equivalent, to $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

To show that $[\hat{y}_{n_k}]_{k=1}^\infty$ is $(1+\epsilon)$-complemented in $g(w,p)$, we will follow the proof of [CL74, Lemma 15]. Define

$$\tilde{z}_k = \sum_{\ell=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_\ell| g_\ell, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us set, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $q_k \leqslant i \leqslant q_{k+1}-1$,

$$Pg_i = \frac{|b_i|^{p-1} w_i}{\sum_{\ell=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_\ell|^p w_\ell} \tilde{z}_k.$$

Clearly, P extends to a linear operator acting on $\operatorname{span}(g_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. Moreover, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$P\tilde{z}_k = P \sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_i| g_i = \sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_i| \frac{|b_i|^{p-1} w_i}{\sum_{\ell=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_\ell|^p w_\ell} \tilde{z}_k = \tilde{z}_k$$

so that P is a projection onto $\operatorname{span}(\tilde{z}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$. Since $(\tilde{z}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a normalized block sequence, it is 1-dominated by $(f_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ by Proposition 2.3. Since also $(**)$ holds, this gives us, for each $(c_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in c_{00}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_i g_i\|^p = \|P\sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} c_i g_i\|^p &= \|\sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} \frac{c_i |b_i|^{p-1} w_i}{\sum_{\ell=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_\ell|^p w_\ell}\right) \tilde{z}_k\|^p \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} \frac{c_i |b_i|^{p-1} w_i}{\sum_{\ell=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_\ell|^p w_\ell} \right|^p \leqslant \phi^{-p} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} c_i |b_i|^{p-1} w_i \right|^p \end{aligned}$$

Then, using Hölder's inequality with with $\frac{p}{p-1}$ conjugate to p, and viewing

$$c_i|b_i|^{p-1}w_i = (c_i w_i^{1/p}) \cdot (|b_i|^{p-1} w_i^{(p-1)/p}),$$

we get, together with $\sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_i|^p w_i \leqslant \|z_k\|^p = 1$,

$$\phi^{-p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} c_i |b_i|^{p-1} w_i \right|^p \leqslant \phi^{-p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |c_i|^p w_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |b_i|^p w_i \right)^{p-1}$$
$$\leqslant \phi^{-p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=q_k}^{q_{k+1}-1} |c_i|^p w_i \leqslant \phi^{-p} \| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i g_i \|_g^p.$$

It follows that P is bounded by ϕ^{-1}, and hence has a ϕ^{-1}-bounded continuous extension $\widetilde{P} \in \mathcal{L}(g(w,p))$ such that $\widetilde{P}$ is a projection onto $[\tilde{z}_k]_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Let us define operators $\Phi_1, \Theta_1, \Phi_2, \Theta_2 \in \mathcal{L}(g(w,p))$ satisfying

$$\hat{y}_{n_k} \overset{\Phi_1}{\mapsto} z_k \overset{\Phi_2}{\mapsto} \tilde{z}_k \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{z}_k \overset{\Theta_2}{\mapsto} z_k \overset{\Theta_1}{\mapsto} \hat{y}_{n_k} \tag{6}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We do this by first defining $\Phi_1 g_{i_{\hat{p}_{n_k}}+\ell} = g_{q_k+\ell}$ and $\Theta_1 g_{q_k+\ell} = g_{i_{\hat{p}_{n_k}}+\ell}$ for all $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant q_{k+1} - q_k - 1$ and all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Phi_1 g_i = 0$ for all other $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This makes $\Phi_1, \Theta_1 \in \mathcal{L}(g(w,p))$ with $\Phi_1\Theta_1 = I_g$, the identity operator on $g(w,p)$, and $\Theta_1\Phi_1$ is the projection onto the space consisting of the closed linear span of vectors $g_{i_{\hat{p}_{n_k}}+\ell}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant q_{k+1} - q_k - 1$. In particular, $\Theta_1\Phi_1 \hat{y}_{n_k} = \hat{y}_{n_k}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, set

$$\Phi_2 g_i = \frac{|b_i|}{b_i} g_i \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_2 g_i = \frac{b_i}{|b_i|} g_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

which is possible since $b_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $\Phi_2\Theta_2 = \Theta_2\Phi_2 = I_g$.

By 1-unconditionality of $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, these are all norm-1 operators, and they clearly satisfy the desired chains of mappings in (6). Let us now form the composition operator

$$\Theta_1\Theta_2\widetilde{P}\Phi_2\Phi_1 \in \mathcal{L}(g(w,p)).$$

It is clear from construction that this is a projection onto $[\hat{y}_{n_k}]_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of norm $\leqslant \theta^{-1} < 1 + \epsilon$. ∎

Corollary 2.7. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. Every normalized block basic sequence of $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ admits a subsequence which dominates $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 2.5, together with the fact that $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is dominated by the canonical basis for ℓ_p. ∎

We need just two more preliminary results before proving Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and any seminormalized block basic sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, we have*

$$\sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n\|_g = \infty.$$

Furthermore, $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is boundedly-complete.

Proof. It is clear from the fact that $w \notin \ell_1$ that

$$\sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n\|_g = \infty.$$

By the Principle of Small Perturbations together with Proposition 1.2 and Theorem 2.5, we can find a subsequence $(y_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which either dominates $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ or else is equivalent to ℓ_p. Either way, by 1-subsymmetry of $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ we have

$$\sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \|\sum_{n=1}^{N} y_n\|_g \geqslant \sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \|\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_{n_k}\|_g = \infty.$$

Since every unconditional basis which fails to be boundedly complete admits a block basic sequence equivalent to the canonical basis of c_0 (cf., e.g., [AK06, Theorem 3.3.2]), this completes the proof. ∎

Proposition 2.9. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. If $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a block basic sequence of $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a further block basic sequence $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which is $(1+\epsilon)$-equivalent to the canonical basis of ℓ_p .*

Proof. Here we shall follow the proof to [ACL73, Corollary 3]. Write

$$y'_n = \frac{y_n}{\|y_n\|_g}$$

so that $(y'_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a normalized block basic sequence in $g(w,p)$. By Proposition 2.8 together with subsymmetry, we can find an increasing sequence $(p_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} y'_i\|_g = \infty.$$

Set

$$z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} y'_i}{\|\sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} y'_i\|_g}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that the coefficients of $(z_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ tend to zero, and so by Theorem 2.5 we can find a subsequence $(z_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ which is $(1+\epsilon)$-equivalent to the canonical basis of ℓ_p . ∎

Proof of Theorem 2.1. As usual, $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ denotes the canonical basis of ℓ_p.

(i) This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1.3.

(ii) We follow the proof of [CL74, Corollary 12]. Let $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a basic sequence in $g(w,p)$ which is equivalent to $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Since $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and hence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ are weakly null, we may pass to a subsequence if necessary and apply the Bessaga-Pelczynski Selection Principle, to truncate the vectors y_n to give us an equivalent seminormalized block basic sequence

$$z_n = (S_{p_{n+1}-1} - S_{p_n-1})y_n = \sum_{i=p_n}^{p_{n+1}-1} a_i g_i,$$

where each $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(g(w,p))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denotes the partial sum projection onto the first k coordinates with respect to the basis $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ (and $S_0 = 0$ is the zero operator). By the Principle of Small Perturbations, we can choose this block basis so that $[y_n]_{n=1}^\infty$ is complemented in $g(w,p)$ whenever $[z_n]_{n=1}^\infty$ is. By Theorem 2.5, since $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ has no subsequence equivalent to the ℓ_p basis, we can find a sequence $(a_{i_n})_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying $p_n \leqslant i_n < p_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |a_{i_n}| =: c > 0$. Let $K \in [1,\infty)$ denote the equivalence constant between $(z_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, and observe that for any $(b_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in c_{00}$, by 1-subsymmetry of $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ we have

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{b_{i_n}}{a_{i_n}}\right) z_n\|_g \leqslant K\|\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{b_{i_n}}{a_{i_n}}\right) g_n\|_g \leqslant \frac{K}{c}\|\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_{i_n} g_n\|_g \leqslant \frac{K}{c}\|\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n g_n\|_g.$$

Thus we can define a bounded linear projection $P \in \mathcal{L}(g(w,p))$ onto $[z_n]_{n=1}^\infty$ by the rule

$$P\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n g_n = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{b_{i_n}}{a_{i_n}}\right) z_n.$$

(iii) Consider first the case where $p \neq 1$. Let us suppose towards a contradiction that $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ fails to be shrinking. Then due to unconditionality of $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, there exists a block basic sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ which is equivalent to the canonical ℓ_1 basis (cf., e.g., [AK06, Theorem 3.3.1]). Now, by Proposition 2.9, we can find a further block basis equivalent to ℓ_p. However, this is impossible if $p \neq 1$, as we are assuming. Thus, $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is shrinking, and since it is also boundedly complete by Proposition 2.8, and unconditional, it must span a reflexive space by a theorem of James (cf., e.g., [AK06, Theorem 3.2.13]). This proves the first part of (iv), and the second part about nonreflexivity in case $p = 1$ follows since $g(w,1)$ contains a (nonreflexive) copy of ℓ_1 by Proposition 2.9.

(iv) Recall that every seminormalized weakly null sequence in ℓ_p admits a subsequence equivalent to $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ (cf., e.g., [AK06, Proposition 2.1.3]). So, if $g(w,p)$ embedded into ℓ_p then by this fact together with $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ being weakly null and subsymmetry, $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ would be equivalent to $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, which it clearly is not.

(v) Fix $\epsilon > 0$, and let Y be any infinite-dimensional closed subspace of $g(w,p)$. By a famous result of Mazur, every infinite-dimensional Banach space contains a basic sequence, and so we can find a normalized basic sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in Y. In case $p > 1$, this basic sequence must be weakly null by the reflexivity of $g(w,p)$. For the case $p = 1$, we can assume by Rosenthal's ℓ_1 Theorem (cf., e.g., [AK06, Theorem 10.2.1]) together with James' ℓ_1 Distortion Theorem (cf., e.g., [AK06, Theorem 10.3.1]) that $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ admits a weak Cauchy subsequence. By passing to a further subsequence if necessary, the sequence

$$\left(\frac{y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}}{\|y_{2n+1} - y_{2n}\|_g}\right)_{n=1}^\infty$$

is normalized and weakly null. Let us relabel if necessary so that in all cases $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ we have found a normalized and weakly null basic sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in Y. By Bessaga-Pełczyński, we can pass to a subsequence if necessary so that $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is $(\sqrt{1+\epsilon})$-equivalent to a normalized block basic sequence $(y'_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. Now we apply Proposition 2.9 to find a block basis $(z'_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ of $(y'_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ which is $(\sqrt{1+\epsilon})$-equivalent to the canonical basis $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of ℓ_p, where we write

$$z'_k = \sum_{n=p_k}^{p_{k+1}-1} a_n y'_n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Define a corresponding basic sequence $(z_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ by the rule

$$z_k = \sum_{n=p_k}^{p_{k+1}-1} a_n y_n, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is clear that $(z_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is $(\sqrt{1+\epsilon})$-equivalent to $(z'_k)_{k=1}^\infty$, and hence $(1+\epsilon)$-equivalent to $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. It follows that $[z_k]_{k=1}^\infty$ is a subspace of Y which is $(1+\epsilon)$-isomorphic to ℓ_p.

(vi) Let Y be an infinite-dimensional closed subspace of $g(w,1)$. By part (v), we can find a subspace Z_1 of Y which is isomorphic to ℓ_1. By [FW08, Theorem 1], every subspace isomorphic to ℓ_1 of a Banach space X with an unconditional basis contains a further subspace which is isomorphic to ℓ_1 and complemented in X. In particular, Z_1 contains a subspace Z isomorphic to ℓ_1 and complemented in $g(w,1)$.

(vii) Let

$$y_n = \frac{\sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} g_i}{\|\sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} g_i\|_g}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Proposition 2.8, $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a block basic sequence satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.5. Thus, we can find a subsequence $(y_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ which is $(1+\epsilon)$-equivalent to the ℓ_p basis. This subsequence is also 1-equivalent to the subsequence $(\hat{y}_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ of the sequence $(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by Proposition 2.4. Again by Theorem 2.5, the subsequence $(\hat{y}_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ is $(1+\epsilon)$-complemented in $g(w,p)$. ■

3. $g(w,p)$ admits a unique subsymmetric basis

In this section we show that for certain choices of $w \in \mathbf{W}$, the canonical basis for $g(w,p)$, $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, fails to be symmetric. We also show that the canonical basis for $g(w,p)$ is always the unique (up to equivalence) subsymmetric basis for $g(w,p)$ (regardless of the choice of w). Consequently, we exhibit examples of Banach spaces which admit a unique subsymmetric basis but not a symmetric one.

Remark 3.1. *It had previously been shown in* [Sa04, §6] *that there exist Banach spaces, called Tirilman spaces, admitting a symmetric basis but failing to admit a subsymmetric one. (In fact, those spaces do not even contain symmetric basic sequences!) It is also easy to see based on existing results in* [ACL73, §3] *(see Remark 3.8 below) that $d(w,p)$ admits a unique subsymmetric basis (which is also symmetric). However, to the author's knowledge, the spaces $g(w,p)$ in Example 3.3 below are the first known examples of Banach spaces having both of these properties simultaneously—that is, admitting a unique subsymmetric basis but failing to admit a symmetric basis.*

To prepare for our first task, we need a technical lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $0 < \theta < 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\frac{(N+1)^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} - \frac{1}{1-\theta} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-\theta} \leqslant \frac{N^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} - \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}.$$

Proof. Note that if $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is nonincreasing and continuous on $[j,k]$, $j < k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\sum_{n=j+1}^{k} f(n) \leqslant \int_j^k f(x)\,dx \leqslant \sum_{n=j}^{k-1} f(n).$$

Now apply this to $f(x) = x^{-\theta}$ over $[1,N]$. ∎

The proof of the following is essentially due to Garling ([Ga68]).

Example 3.3. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$. If $w = (n^{-\theta})_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$ for $\theta \in (0,1)$ then the canonical basis for $g(w,p)$ is subsymmetric but not symmetric.*

Proof. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let us set

$$z^{(j)} = (j^{-(1-\theta)/p}, [j-1]^{-(1-\theta)/p}, [j-2]^{-(1-\theta)/p}, \cdots, 1^{-(1-\theta)/p}, 0, 0, \cdots)$$

and its nonincreasing rearrangement

$$y^{(j)} = (1^{-(1-\theta)/p}, 2^{-(1-\theta)/p}, 3^{-(1-\theta)/p}, \cdots, j^{-(1-\theta)/p}, 0, 0, 0, \cdots).$$

Observe that

$$\|y^{(j)}\|_g \geqslant \left(\sum_{n=1}^{j} n^{-1}\right)^{1/p} \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

Hence, $\sup_j \|y^{(j)}\|_g = \infty$.

Next, we will show that $\sup_j \|z^{(j)}\|_g < \infty$, and hence that the canonical basis is not symmetric. To see this, first note that since the nonzero entries of $z^{(j)}$ are increasing, we must have $k \in \{1, \cdots, j\}$ such that

$$\|z^{(j)}\|_g = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} (k+1-n)^{-(1-\theta)} n^{-\theta} \right)^{1/p}.$$

We claim that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \sum_{n=1}^{k} (k+1-n)^{-(1-\theta)} n^{-\theta} < \infty \tag{7}$$

whence it shall follow that $\sup_j \|z^{(j)}\|_g < \infty$ as desired.

Consider the case where $k \geqslant 3$ and is odd, and let us give the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{k} (k+1-n)^{-(1-\theta)} n^{-\theta} \\
&\qquad = \sum_{n=1}^{(k+1)/2} (k+1-n)^{-(1-\theta)} n^{-\theta} + \sum_{n=(k+3)/2}^{k} (k+1-n)^{-(1-\theta)} n^{-\theta} \\
&\qquad \leqslant \left(\frac{k+1}{2} \right)^{-(1-\theta)} \sum_{n=1}^{(k+1)/2} n^{-\theta} + \left(\frac{k+3}{2} \right)^{-\theta} \sum_{n=(k+3)/2}^{k} (k+1-n)^{-(1-\theta)} \\
&\qquad = \left(\frac{k+1}{2} \right)^{-(1-\theta)} \sum_{n=1}^{(k+1)/2} n^{-\theta} + \left(\frac{k+3}{2} \right)^{-\theta} \sum_{n=1}^{(k-1)/2} n^{-(1-\theta)} \\
&\qquad \leqslant 2 \left(\frac{k+1}{2} \right)^{-(1-\theta)} \sum_{n=1}^{(k+1)/2} n^{-\theta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{(k+1)/2} n^{-\theta} \leqslant \frac{1}{1-\theta} \left(\frac{k+1}{2} \right)^{1-\theta}$$

so that

$$2 \left(\frac{k+1}{2} \right)^{-(1-\theta)} \sum_{n=1}^{(k+1)/2} n^{-\theta} \leqslant \frac{2}{1-\theta}.$$

The even case is handled similarly, and this proves (7). ■

Remark 3.4. *It was shown in* [ACL73, Theorem 6] *that $d(w,p)$ admits exactly two nonequivalent symmetric basic sequences if and only if the weights $w=(w_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{W}$ satisfy the condition*

$$\sup_{j,k\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{jk} w_n}{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{j} w_n\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} w_n\right)} < \infty. \tag{8}$$

Observe that, by Lemma 3.2, the weight sequence $w=(n^{-\theta})_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{W}$, $\theta\in(0,1)$, given in Example 3.3 satisfies (8).

Before continuing, we recall the following result from [ACL73], which shall be needed momentarily.

Proposition 3.5 ([ACL73, Corollary 2])**.** *Let $1\leqslant p<\infty$ and $w=(w_n)_{n=1}^\infty\in\mathbf{W}$, and let $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ denote the canonical basis of $d(w,p)$. Every seminormalized basic sequence in $d(w,p)$ admits a subsequence dominating $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.*

Our next task requires the following analogous result for $g(w,p)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $1\leqslant p<\infty$ and $w=(w_n)_{n=1}^\infty\in\mathbf{W}$, let $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ denote the canonical basis of $d(w,p)$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. Then every symmetric normalized block sequence of $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ dominates $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.*

Proof. Fix any symmetric normalized block sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $g(w,p)$. For any finite sequence of scalars $(b_n)_{n=1}^N$, $N\in\mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\|\sum_{n=1}^N b_n d_n\|_d = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |b_{\sigma(n)}|^p w_n\right)^{1/p}$$

for some permutation σ of $\{1,\cdots,N\}$. However, due to symmetry together with Corollary 2.7, we can find $C\in[1,\infty)$ such that $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is C-equivalent to all its subsequences, and C-dominates $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sum_{n=1}^N b_n d_n\|_d = \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |b_{\sigma(n)}|^p w_n\right)^{1/p}& \\ \leqslant \|\sum_{n=1}^N b_{\sigma(n)} g_n\|_g \leqslant C\|\sum_{n=1}^N b_{\sigma(n)} y_n\|_g &\leqslant C^2\|\sum_{n=1}^N b_n y_n\|_g\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ C^2-dominates $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. ∎

The next theorem is based on [ACL73, Theorem 4], wherein an analogous result for subspaces with symmetric bases was proved for $d(w,p)$. However, our proof here is slightly different.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. If $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subsymmetric basic sequence in $g(w,p)$ and $Y = [y_n]_{n=1}^{\infty}$ then every subsymmetric basis for Y is equivalent to $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. In particular, every subsymmetric basis for $g(w,p)$ is equivalent to $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$.*

Proof. Assume Y is not isomorphic to ℓ_p, else we are done. Now let $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be any subsymmetric basis for Y. By Proposition 1.3 together with the fact that $g(w,p)$ and hence Y contains no copy of ℓ_1, the sequence $(u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ must be weakly null, and the same goes for $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Thus, we may apply Bessaga-Pełczyński and the Principle of Small Perturbations to obtain normalized blocks

$$\hat{u}_i = \sum_{n=p_i}^{p_{i+1}-1} a_n y_n, \quad \hat{y}_i = \sum_{n=q_i}^{q_{i+1}-1} b_n g_n, \quad z_i = \sum_{n=r_i}^{r_{i+1}-1} c_n u_n, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

such that $(\hat{u}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \approx (u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $(\hat{y}_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \approx (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \approx (z_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$. For convenience, we may simply assume $\hat{y}_n = y_n$ and $\hat{u}_n = u_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us now write

$$z_k = \sum_{i=r_k}^{r_{k+1}-1} \sum_{j=p_i}^{p_{i+1}-1} \sum_{n=q_j}^{q_{j+1}-1} c_i a_j b_n g_n.$$

Notice that by Theorem 2.5 we cannot have $\lim_{j\to\infty} |a_j| = 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} |b_n| = 0$, or $\lim_{i\to\infty} |c_i| = 0$, since otherwise, together with subsymmetry, $(z_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ would be equivalent to the canonical basis of ℓ_p. Together with subsymmetry it follows that, passing to subsequences if necessary, we may assume the coefficients $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $(b_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and $(c_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ each satisfy property (1). By Proposition 1.2, this means

$$(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \lesssim (u_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \lesssim (z_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \approx (y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}.$$

■

Remark 3.8. *It is easy to see based on the analogous results in* [ACL73] *that Theorem 3.7 also holds for $d(w,p)$ in place of $g(w,p)$.*

Corollary 3.9. *Each of the spaces constructed in Example 3.3 admits a unique (up to equivalence) subsymmetric basis, but not a symmetric one.*

We close this section by exploring the following question.

Question 3.10. *Do there exist $w \in \mathbf{W}$ and $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ so that $g(w,p)$ admits a symmetric basis?*

It follows from Corollary 3.9 that not every $w \in \mathbf{W}$ yields a positive answer to Question 3.10, but we do not know whether some other $w \in \mathbf{W}$ might do the trick.

Theorem 3.7 tells us that $g(w,p)$ admits a symmetric basis if and only if its canonical basis is symmetric. The next result lays out some other equivalent conditions.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{W}$, let $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ denote the canonical basis of $d(w,p)$, and let $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ denote the canonical basis of $g(w,p)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) *$g(w,p)$ admits a symmetric basis.*
(ii) *$(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is equivalent to $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ (and in particular is symmetric).*
(iii) *$g(w,p)$ and $d(w,p)$ are isomorphic.*
(iv) *$d(w,p)$ contains a subspace isomorphic to $g(w,p)$.*
(v) *The formal inclusion map*

$$I_{d,g} \in \mathcal{L}(d(w,p), g(w,p)), \quad I_{d,g} d_n = g_n \; \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

preserves a copy of $d(w,p)$ which is complemented in $g(w,p)$. More precisely, there exists a subspace Y of $d(w,p)$ for which $Y \approx d(w,p) \approx I_{d,g}Y$, and such that $I_{d,g}Y$ is complemented in $g(w,p)$ and $I_{d,g}|_Y$ is bounded below.

Proof. Let us begin by noting that (ii)⇒(i), (ii)⇒(iii), (iii)⇒(iv), and (ii)⇒(v) are all trivial.

(i)⇒(ii): Note that $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty \lesssim (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ by a direct application of their definitions. By Theorem 3.7, $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is symmetric, and so by Proposition 3.6 we have $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty \lesssim (g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. It follows that $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty \approx (g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

(iv)⇒(ii): Since $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is weakly null by Theorem 2.1, we obtain via Bessaga-Pełczyński a seminormalized $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$-block basic sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ in $d(w,p)$ which is equivalent to $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, and hence dominated by $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Since $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is subsymmetric, by Proposition 3.5 we get $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \gtrsim (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Combining these gives

$$(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \approx (g_n)_{n=1}\infty \lesssim (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty \lesssim (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

(v)⇒(ii): Since $I_{d,g}Y$ is complemented in $g(w,p)$ and isomorphic to $d(w,p)$, we could extend an isomorphism $\widehat{B} : I_{d,g}Y \to d(w,p)$ to an operator (not necessarily an isomorphism anymore) $B : g(w,p) \to d(w,p)$. By [KPSTT12, Theorem 5.5], an operator in $\mathcal{L}(d(w,p))$ fails to preserve a copy of $d(w,p)$ if and only if it sends the canonical basis to a norm-null sequence. So, $BI_{d,g}d_n \not\to 0$, which means we could find some subsequence $(Bg_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ which is seminormalized. As $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is weakly null by Theorem 2.1, so is $(Bg_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$. Pass to a further subsequence if necessary so that, by applying Bessaga-Pełczyński, $(Bg_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ is seminormalized basic, and equivalent to a block basis of $(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. By Proposition 3.5 we may assume $(Bg_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty \gtrsim (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. Hence,

$$(d_n)_{n=1}^\infty \lesssim (Bg_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty \lesssim (g_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty \approx (g_n)_{n=1}^\infty \lesssim (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty.$$

■

Remark 3.12. *In the proof of (v)⇒(ii), we actually showed that if $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty \not\approx (d_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ then for no $B \in \mathcal{L}(g(w,p), d(w,p))$ does the composition operator $BI_{d,g}$ preserve a copy of $d(w,p)$, regardless of whether or not it passes that copy through a complemented subspace of $g(w,p)$. On the other hand, it is clear by considering the proof*

to Proposition 2.9 that the identity operator on ℓ_p factors through $I_{d,g}$, so that $I_{d,g}$ is never strictly singular.

4. Uniform convexity and superreflexivity

We have seen that, in some cases at least, $g(w,p)$ is not isomorphic to $d(w,p)$. We do not know whether this is true in all cases. However, we could say some things about isometries. Indeed, it is clear that $g(w,p)$ is never isometric in the natural way to $d(w,p)$. Just consider the minimum $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w_{N+1} < 1$, so that, letting

$$0 < \alpha < \left(\frac{1 - w_{N+1}}{N}\right)^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad u = (\underbrace{\alpha, \cdots, \alpha}_{N \text{ times}}, 1, 0, 0, 0, \cdots),$$

we have

$$\|u\|_g = 1 < \|u\|_d.$$

Using a roundabout method, we can even show that, for certain choices of $w \in \mathbf{W}$, more general than in Example 3.3, $g(w,p)$ does not isometrically embed into $d(w,p)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$ (although, we do not know whether it might isomorphically embed).

The method we will use is to first prove that $g(w,p)$ is never uniformly convex, and thus cannot embed isometrically into $d(w,p)$ whenever the latter space is. Recall that a Banach space X is **uniformly convex** just in case for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if $u, v \in X$ with $\|u\|_X = \|v\|_X = 1$ and $\|u - v\|_X \geqslant \epsilon$ then $\frac{1}{2}\|u + v\|_X \leqslant 1 - \delta$.

Proposition 4.1. *The space $g(w,p)$ fails to be uniformly convex for any $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ and any $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$.*

Proof. Let us define, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\alpha_j = \left(\frac{1 - w_{j+1}}{\sum_{n=1}^{j} w_n}\right)^{1/p}.$$

Now let us consider, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the vectors

$$u^{(j)} = (\underbrace{\alpha_j, \cdots, \alpha_j}_{j \text{ times}}, 1, 0, 0, 0, \cdots) \in g(w,p)$$

and

$$v^{(j)} = (\underbrace{\alpha_j, \cdots, \alpha_j}_{j \text{ times}}, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \cdots) \in g(w,p).$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u^{(j)}+v^{(j)}\|_g \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{j}(2\alpha_j)^p w_n + w_{j+1} + w_{j+2}\right]^{1/p}$$
$$= \left[\alpha_j^p \sum_{n=1}^{j} w_n + \frac{1}{2^p}(w_{j+1}+w_{j+2})\right]^{1/p}$$
$$= \left[1 - w_{j+1} + \frac{1}{2^p}(w_{j+1}+w_{j+2})\right]^{1/p} \to 1$$

as $j \to \infty$. To show that $g(w,p)$ fails to be uniformly convex, then, it suffices to find a subsequence $(u^{(j_k)})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\|u^{(j_k)}\|_g = \|v^{(j_k)}\|_g = 1$ and $\|u^{(j_k)} - v^{(j_k)}\|_g > 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Due to $(w_j)_{j=1}^{\infty} \in c_0 \setminus \ell_1$ we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \alpha_j = 0.$$

Hence, we could find a subsequence $(\alpha_{j_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\alpha_{j_k} \leqslant \min_{i \leqslant j_k} \alpha_i, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now, fix any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition of $g(w,p)$ and due to $w_1 = 1$, either $\|u^{(j_k)}\|_g = 1$, or else we could find $i \in \{1, \cdots, j_k\}$ with

$$1 \leqslant \|u^{(j_k)}\|_g^p = \sum_{n=1}^{i} w_n \alpha_{j_k}^p + w_{i+1} \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{i} w_n \alpha_i^p + w_{i+1} = 1$$

so that $\|u^{(j_k)}\|_g = \|v^{(j_k)}\|_g = 1$ anyway. Observing that

$$\|u^{(j_k)} - v^{(j_k)}\|_g = (w_1 + w_2)^{1/p} > 1$$

finishes the proof. ■

Note that uniform convexity is an isometric property, and that one uniformly convex space might be isomorphic (but not isometric) to a space which is not uniformly convex. In light of this, let us say that a Banach space X is **uniformly convexifiable** whenever there exists an equivalent norm on X for which it is uniformly convex, or, equivalently, whenever it is isomorphic to a uniformly convex space. Uniform convexifiability has been famously identified with superreflexivity, as follows. Recall that a Banach space Y is **finitely representable** in a Banach space X whenever for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each finite-dimensional subspace F of Y, there exists a finite-dimensional subspace E of X such that E and F are $(1+\epsilon)$-isomorphic, i.e. such that there exists an isomorphism $U : E \to F$ satisfying $\|U\|\|U^{-1}\| \leqslant 1 + \epsilon$. Then, a Banach space X is called **superreflexive** just in case every Banach space

finitely representable in X is reflexive. It was shown in [En73] that a Banach space is superreflexive if and only if it is uniformly convexifiable.

Having shown that $g(w,p)$ is never uniformly convex, and in light of the above identification between superreflexivity and uniform convexifiability, the next natural question to ask is, given $1 < p < \infty$, can we ever choose $w \in \mathbf{W}$ so that $g(w,p)$ is superreflexive? This looks more difficult to answer, but due to the work in [Al75], we have a bit of a head start by ruling out a few cases.

Theorem 4.2 ([Al75, Theorem 1])**.** *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(I) *$d(w,p)$ is uniformly convex.*
(II) *$d(w,p)$ is uniformly convexifiable (equivalently, superreflexive).*
(III)
$$\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n} w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i} > 1.$$
(IV) *There exist constants $A > 0$ and $B > 0$ such that*
$$Bw_n \leqslant \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \leqslant Aw_n$$
for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 4.3. *The choices $w = (n^{-\theta})_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$, $0 < \theta < 1$, from Example 3.3 satisfy the equivalent conditions (III) and (IV) above.*

As clearly every Banach space isometric to a subspace of uniformly convex is itself uniformly convex, we have the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbf{W}$. If any of the equivalent conditions (I)-(IV) in Theorem 4.2 hold, then no subspace of $d(w,p)$ is isometric to $g(w,p)$.*

Let us return to the question of superreflexivity. The following lemma, which we will need shortly, was originally stated with only symmetric bases in mind, but a quick look at the proof shows that subsymmetry is quite sufficient.

Lemma 4.5 ([Al75, Lemma 4])**.** *Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a subsymmetric basis for a Banach space X. Suppose there are increasing sequences $(n_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $(k_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and a uniform constant $M > 0$ such that*
$$\sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\|\sum_{n=1}^{n_i k_i} x_n\|_X}{\|\sum_{n=1}^{n_i} x_n\|_X} \leqslant M.$$
Then X is not uniformly convexifiable (equivalently, not superreflexive).

The author proved it by finding k_i-dimensional subspaces of X, defined as the span of vectors

$$v_m = \frac{1}{\|\sum_{i=1}^{n_i} x_i\|} \sum_{j=(m-1)n_i+1}^{mn_i} x_i, \quad m = 1. \cdots, k_i,$$

which are uniformly isomorphic to $\ell_\infty^{k_i}$. Indeed, assuming 1-subsymmetry for convenience (so that the v_m's are normalized instead of just seminormalized), any sequence $(\alpha_m)_{m=1}^{k_i}$ of scalars gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{1\leqslant m\leqslant k_i} |\alpha_m| \leqslant \|\sum_{m=1}^{k_i} \alpha_m v_m\|_X &\leqslant \sup_{1\leqslant m\leqslant k_i} |\alpha_m| \cdot \|\sum_{m=1}^{k_i} v_m\|_X \\ &\leqslant \sup_{1\leqslant m\leqslant k_i} |\alpha_m| \cdot \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\|\sum_{n=1}^{n_j k_j} x_n\|_X}{\|\sum_{n=1}^{n_j} x_n\|_X} \leqslant M \sup_{1\leqslant m\leqslant k_i} |\alpha_m|.\end{aligned}$$

We also need one more lemma, from the same paper.

Lemma 4.6 ([Al75, Lemma 5])**.** *Let $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{W}$. If*

$$\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n} w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i} = 1$$

then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist strictly increasing sequences $(n_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $(k_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{n_i k_i} w_n}{\sum_{m=1}^{n_i} w_n} \leqslant 1 + \epsilon.$$

Corollary 4.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w = (w_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \mathbf{W}$. If any of the equivalent conditions (I)-(IV) in Theorem 4.2 fail to hold then $g(w, p)$ is not uniformly convexifiable. In particular, in this case, $g(w, p)$ is reflexive but not superreflexive.*

Proof. Note that if condition (III) fails to hold then we can apply Lemma 4.6, and hence also Lemma 4.5, to conclude that $g(w, p)$ is not uniformly convexifiable. The last statement is just due to uniform convexifiability being equivalent to superreflexivity (cf. [En73]), together with Theorem 2.1. ∎

References

[]AK06 Fernando Albiac and Nigel J. Kalton. *Topics in Banach Space Theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer Inc. (2006), ISBN 978-0387-28141-4.

[]Al75 Zvi Altshuler. "Uniform convexity in Lorentz sequence spaces," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 20, Nos. 3-4 (1975), pp260-274.

[]ACL73 Zvi Altshuler, Peter G. Casazza, Bor-Luh Lin. "On symmetric basic sequences in Lorentz sequence spaces," *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 15, Issue 2 (June, 1973), pp140-55.

[]CL74 P.G. Casazza, Bor-Luh Lin. "On symmetric basic sequences in lorentz sequence spaces II," *Israel Journal of Mathematics* Volume 17, Issue 2 (December 1974), pp191-218.

[]DOSZ11 Stephen J. Dilworth, E. Odell, Thomas Schlumprecht, Andras Zsák. "Renormings and Symmetry Properties of 1-greedy bases," *J. Approx Theory* 163 (2011), pp1049-1075.

[]En73 Per Enflo. "Banach spaces which can be given an equivalent uniformly convex norm," *Israel J. Math.* 13 (1973), pp281288.

[]FW08 Carlos Finol and Marek Wjtowicz. "Complemented copies of ℓ_1 in Banach spaces with an unconditional basis," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 342:1 (1 June 2008), pp83-88.

[]Ga68 D.J.H. Garling. "Symmetric bases of locally convex spaces," *Studia Mathematica*, Vol. 30, No. 2 (1968), pp163-81.

[]KPSTT12 Anna Kaminska, Alexey I. Popov, Eugeniu Spinu, Adi Tcaciuc, Vladimir G. Troitsky. "Norm closed operator ideals in Lorentz sequence spaces," *J. Math. Analysis and Appl.*, 389(1) (2012), pp247-260.

[]LT77 Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri. *Classical Banach Spaces I: Sequence Spaces* (1977), ISBN 3-540-08072-4.

[]OS15 Timur Oikhberg, E. Spinu. "Subprojective Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 424:1 (1 April 2015), pp613635.

[]Pu76 Lakhpat R. Pujara. "A uniformly convex Banach space with a Schauder basis which is subsymmetric but not symmetric," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* Vol. 54, No. 1 (January, 1976), pp207-210.

[]Sa04 Bünyamin Sarı. "Envelope functions and asymptotic structures in Banach spaces," *Studia Mathematica* 164:3 (2004), pp283-306.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL 60115

E-mail address: benwallis@live.com